percubes!

ean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

### Finding Lean Induced Cycles in Binary Hypercubes

#### Yury Chebiryak<sup>1</sup> Daniel Kroening<sup>2</sup>

Thomas Wahl<sup>2</sup> Leopold Haller<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Computer Systems Institute, ETH Zurich, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Computing Laboratory, Oxford University, UK

SAT 2009 - Twelfth International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing June 30 - July 3, 2009, Swansea, Wales, United Kingdom.

> This research was supported in part by an award from IBM Research and by ETH Research Grant TH-19 06-3.



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



Motivation •••••• ercubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Chinese Ring Puzzle**

#### aka. The Devils Needle Puzzle aka. Cardan's rings



Figure adopted from [Knuth'05]

Empty the bar by

- 1. removing or replacing rightmost ring or
- 2. moving any ring if right ring is on bar and rest are off

s! Lean In

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Binary Reflected Gray Code**

Gray codes applications:

- analog to digital information conversion
- error correction
- circuit testing
- signal encoding
- data compression
- diagnosis of multiprocessors
- computational biology (e.g. [Glass'77,Zinovik+07])

Figure adopted from [Knuth'05]





percubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Gene Regulatory Networks**

Gene interaction using wiring diagrams:



atopted from [Fisher+05]



rcubes! Le

duced Cycles Prop

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Ordinary Differential Equations (ODE)**

- We consider *n* genes, where each gene has a product
- Let *x<sub>i</sub>* denote the concentration of the product of gene *i*
- Dynamics:

$$\dot{x}_i = -g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \gamma_i x_i \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n,$$

where

 $\gamma_i > 0$ : degradation rate of  $x_i$  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ : coupling



Motivation Hypercubes!

Lean Induced C

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Glass Model**

#### Gene activity is *on/off* only The general form of a Glass network is

$$\dot{x}_i = G_i(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) - \alpha x_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n$$

#### where

- α > 0,
- *G<sub>i</sub>*: interaction functions,

• 
$$\tilde{x}_i = \begin{cases} a : \text{ if } x_i < \theta_i \\ b : \text{ if } x_i > \theta_i \end{cases}$$

• with real constants a < b

lypercubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### From phase flow to hypercubes



#### **Phase Flow**

percubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### From phase flow to hypercubes



#### **Phase Flow**

#### **Transition Diagram**

Motivation	Hypercubes!	Lean Induced Cycles	Propositional SAT Encoding	Classification	Conclusio	
Hypercube						

$$Q_1 = K_2, \quad Q_n = K_2 \times Q_{n-1}$$



Motivation	Hypercubes!	Lean Induced Cycles	Propositional SAT Encoding	Classification	Conclusion
		Нур	percube		

$$Q_1 = K_2, \quad Q_n = K_2 \times Q_{n-1}$$



Motivation H	lypercubes!	Lean Induced Cycles	Propositional SAT Encoding	Classification	Conclusion
0	V O	Нур	ercube		



Motivation	Hypercubes!	Lean Induced Cycles	Propositional SAT Encoding	Classification	Conclusion
		Ну	percube		
$Q_1$	$= K_2,  Q_n$	$= K_2 \times Q_{n-1}$			





# Periodic trajectories of Glass networks $\sim$ cycles in hypercubes A *cyclic attractor* = induced cycle, edges oriented toward



Lean Induced Cycles Propo

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Induced cycle: Example

Initial node: 000,

Hypercubes!



Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

#### Induced cycle: Example

#### Initial node: 000, Transition sequence: 1, 2

Hypercubes!



## Induced cycle: Example

Propositional SAT Encoding

#### Initial node: 000, Transition sequence: 1, 2, 3

Lean Induced Cycles

Hypercubes!



lassification

Conclusion

## Induced cycle: Example

Propositional SAT Encoding

Initial node: 000, Transition sequence: 1, 2, 3, 1

Lean Induced Cycles

Hypercubes!



classification

Conclusion

### vation Hypercubes! Lean Induced Cycles Propositional SAT Encoding Classificat oo Induced cycle: Example

Initial node: 000, Transition sequence: 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3



Conclusio

Lean Induced Cycles Pro

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Hamming Distance, Paths on Hypercube

For two nodes  $W_k$  and  $W_l$  of *n*-cube Hamming distance

$$d_H(W_k, W_l) = \left| \{ i \mid W_k[i] \neq W_l[i] \} \right|,$$

Path  $P = W_0, W_1, \ldots, W_{L-1}$  of length L,

$$\forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, L-2\}. \ d_H(W_j, W_{j+1}) = 1.$$

Cycle

Hypercubes!

$$\forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}. \ d_H(W_j, W_{j+1 \mod L}) = 1.$$



#### **Definition**

The *cyclic distance*  $d_C(W_j, W_k)$  of two nodes  $W_j$  and  $W_k$  of a cycle of length *L* in an *n*-cube is

$$d_C(W_j, W_k) = \min\{|k-j|, L-|j-k|\}.$$

[Suparta06]

Hypercubes!

#### Induced cycle: Definition

#### Definition

0.

An *induced cycle*  $I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_{L-1}$  in an *n*-cube is a cycle such that any two nodes on the cycle are adjacent in the *n*-cube only if they are neighbours on the cycle:

$$orall j, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}.$$
  
 $d_H(I_j, I_k) < 2 \Longrightarrow d_C(I_j, I_k) < 2$ 

otivation Hype

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Lean induced cycles: Example in 4D



Node 1101 is shunned

lotivation

cubes! Le

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Lean induced cycles: shunned nodes

#### Definition

A node *W* is shunned if and only if it is not adjacent to any node of a cycle:

$$\forall i \in \{0, \ldots, L-1\}. d_H(W, I_i) > 1$$

Goal: maximize number of shunned nodes

Solution: use SAT solver

Notivation

rcubes!

ean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Propositional SAT: Advantages**

- definite answer
- provably correct (satisfying assignment or proof)
- easy to modify encoding
- easy to add new constraints
- can benefit from theoretical results
- enumeration/classification (ALL-SAT)

/percubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Encoding induced cycles:

Input	dimension $n$ , length $L$
Coordinates	$(n \cdot L)$ boolean variables $I_j[k]$ , where $0 \le j < L$ and $0 \le k < n$
Transition seq	$(n \cdot L)$ XOR gates $xor^{k,k+1}[l]$
Cycle	$\bigwedge_{j=0}^{L-1}  d_H(I_j, I_{j+1 \bmod L}) = 1$
Chordless	$\bigwedge_{j=0}^{L-3}\bigwedge_{k=j+2}^{L-1}  d_H(I_j,I_k) > 1$

How to encode  $d_H$  efficiently?

*Iotivation* 

Lean Inc

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Encoding induced cycles: Once-twice predicates

- *once*<sup>A,B</sup> at least one of *xor*<sup>A,B</sup>[*i*] is enabled
- *twice*<sup>A,B</sup> at least two ...
- $d_H(A,B) = 1$  is encoded as

$$once^{A,B} \land \neg twice^{A,B}$$

•  $d_H(A,B) > 1$  as  $once^{A,B} \wedge twice^{A,B}$ 

• and  $d_H(A,B) \ge 1$  as

 $once^{A,B}$ 

variety of encodings: OR-tree, long clause, etc.

es! Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Encoding induced cycles: Hamming distance**



[Chebiryak&Kroening, JSAT'2008]



Classification

Conclusion

#### **Encoding shunned nodes**

Lean induced cycle  $I_0, \ldots, I_{L-1}$  with at least S shunned nodesCoordinatesS Boolean vectors  $s_0, \ldots s_{S-1}$  of length nDisjoint $\bigwedge_{0 \le i < j \le S-1}$  $d_H(s_i, s_j) \ge 1$ Shunned $\bigwedge_{i=0}^{S-1} \bigwedge_{i=0}^{L-1}$  $d_H(s_i, I_j) > 1$ 



#### **Equivalence relation**

Two cycles equivalent = transition sequences identical by

- permutation of axes
- reflection
- rotation

Example: 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3,  $\sim$  1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2

(left rotation by 1)	$1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3 \sim 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1$
(reflection)	$\sim 1,3,2,1,3,2$
$\pi(1,2,3) = (1,3,2)$	$1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3 \sim 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2$

Classification: ALL-SAT with blocking clauses for every equivalent cycle



Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Equivalence classes computation using SAT

#### Use ALL-SAT to compute $|IC(n, L, \geq U)|$ , then

$$|IC(n,L,k)| = |IC(n,L, \geq k)| - |IC(n,L, \geq k+1)|$$



Scalability issues

• Too many blocking clauses per class:

 $(2L \cdot n!) = 25920$  for n = 6, L = 18

- Multiplied by number of classes (1228)
  = 30 millions blocking clauses.
- ALL-SAT is done when UNSAT is reached.
- $\Rightarrow$  reduce number of blocking clauses per class

ercubes!

ean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Symmetric Gray codes

Symmetric transition sequence:

 $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  rotations by  $\frac{L}{2}$  positions and more are ineffective



Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Prefix Filtering**

- **1.** Fix first three transitions to 1, 2, 3
  - 1,2 w.l.o.g.
  - next transition can only be 3, 4, ..., n
  - w.l.o.g. restrict to canonical one (i.e. dimension 3)
- no need to add blocking clauses for equivalent cycles not starting with 1, 2, 3 (*trivially satisfied*)

Example: 1,2,3,1,2,3  $\sim$  1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2 blocking clause

$$\neg xor^{0,1}[1] \lor \neg xor^{1,2}[3] \lor \neg xor^{2,3}[2] \lor \neg xor^{3,4}[1] \lor \neg xor^{4,5}[3] \lor \neg xor^{5,0}[2]$$

is satisfied:  $xor^{0,1}[1] \wedge xor^{1,2}[2] \wedge xor^{2,3}[3]$ 

percubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Longest lean induced cycles

n	L	U	Result	Time (sec)
3	6	0	SAT	<0.001
		1	UNSAT	<0.001
4	8	0	SAT	0.007
		1	SAT	0.009
		2	UNSAT	0.015
5	14	0	SAT	0.033
		1	UNSAT	3.012
6	26	0	SAT	0.420
		1	UNSAT	750.390
7	48	0	SAT	27568.000
		1	SAT	32175.000
		2	SAT	36936.000
		3	SAT	208304.000
		4	timeout	>60h

ation Hypercubes! Lean Indu

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Classification: L=24**



h Hypercubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### **Classification: L=18**





- New combinatorial problem with application in Systems Biology
- Solutions for dimensions up to 7
- Classification for dimensions up to 6

percubes!

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### Challenges

- Higher dimensions
- Efficient algorithms
- Real world examples
- Complexity of the problem
- $n \to \infty$

n	Hypercubes!	

Lean Induced Cycles

Propositional SAT Encoding

Classification

Conclusion

#### References

- Blass+01 Blass, U.; Honkala, I.; Karpovsky, M. G. and Litsyn, S. Short Dominating Paths and Cycles in the Binary Hypercube, Annals of Combinatorics (5), pp. 51-59, 2001
- Chebiryak+08 Chebiryak, Y. and Kroening, D. Towards a classification of Hamiltonian cycles in the 6-cube, Journal on Satisfiability, Boolean Modeling and Computation (4), pp. 57-74, 2008
- Chebiryak+08 Chebiryak, Y. and Kroening, D. An Efficient SAT Encoding of Circuit codes, IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory and its Applications, Auckland, New Zealand, December, 2008
  - Fisher+05 Fisher, J.; Piterman, N.; Hubbard, E.; Stern, M. and Harel, D. Computational insights into Caenorhabditis elegans vulval development, Procs National Acad Sciences (102), pp. 1951-1956, 2005
  - Glass'77 Glass, L. Combinatorial aspects of dynamics in biological systems, Statistical mechanics and statistical methods in theory and applications, Plenum, pp. 585-611, 1977
  - Harary+88 Harary, F.; Hayes, J. P. and Wu, H. A Survey of the Theory of Hypercube Graphs. Comput. Math. Appl. (15), pp. 277-289, 1988
  - de Jong+08 de Jong, H. and Page, M. Search for Steady States of Piecewise-Linear Differential Equation Models of Genetic Regulatory Networks, IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biology Bioinform. (5), pp. 208-222, 2008
    - Knuth05 Knuth, D. E. The Art of Computer Programming, vol. 4, fascicle 2: Generating All Tuples and Permutations, Addison-Wesley Professional, 2005
  - Suparta'06 Suparta, I. N. Counting sequences, Gray codes and lexicodes, Delft University of Technology, 2006
  - Zinovik+07 Zinovik, I.; Kroening, D. and Chebiryak, Y. An Algebraic Algorithm for the Identification of Glass Networks with Periodic Orbits Along Cyclic Attractors, Procs. Algebraic Biology, pp. 140-154, 2007
  - Zinovik+08 Zinovik, I.; Kroening, D and Chebiryak, Y. Computing Binary Combinatorial Gray Codes via Exhaustive Search with SAT-solvers, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 2008, vol. 54, pp. 1819-1823